UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,252	01/07/2008	Curtis Clarke	044170-0340	1801
	7590 08/25/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIU	TAWFIK, SAMEH		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/598,252	CLARKE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and any objection to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the Expression o	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/598,252 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-10) in the reply filed on 07/13/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has identified the species by listing only claim numbers, while species normally identified by figures. Further, some of the pointed out feature by the examiner as being lacked in species I, is been disclosed in claim 1. This is not found persuasive because applicants have admitted in the filed response that "certain drawings are clearly directed to different examples or embodiments of the disclosed folding apparatus", further, species could be identified by claims as well. Moreover, regardless to some similarity between species I-III, the detailed technical features as been identified in species II and III are different than the one identified in species I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,252 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

(1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

the specification is missing headings, such as "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION", "BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION", "DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION"; etc.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the operation" in 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 1, line 5; "gathering elements towards a center" is vague and indefinite as it is not clear "towards a center" in respect to what?; etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,252 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishijima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,505,855).

Nishijima discloses a method of folding an air bag comprising the steps of spreading out an empty air bag on a surface between first and second gathering elements (Figs. 2-5 and 7; via gathering elements/moving blocks 24); moving the first and second gathering elements toward a center and toward each other (Fig. 10); adapting the first and second gathering element so as to limit a first and second height of a first and second edge portions of the air bag proximate to the first and second gathering elements relative to the surface to less than a first and second threshold during at least a portion of the operation, see for example (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17).

Regarding claims 2-4: at least one of the operations of adapting the first and second gathering elements comprises at least one of extending a first and a second slider from the first and second gathering elements, see for example (Figs. 6, 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17; via moving blades 26); the slider being positioned responsive to a controller (Fig. 6; via the movement of blades 26 must have been controlled by a controller and/or means for moving, which is equivalent to controller); the first and second sliders is responsive to at least one of a first and second positions

Art Unit: 3721

of the first and second gathering elements, see for example (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17; via the slider blades 26 being positioned in respect to the gathering elements 24).

Regarding claim 5: holding a first and second edge of the air bag against first and second gathering elements, see for example (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17; via edges of air bag been held against gathering elements 24).

Regarding claim 6: shaping a first and second face of the first and second gathering elements so as to urge the first and second edge portions of the air bag towards the surface responsive to the operation of moving the first and second gathering elements, see for example (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17).

Regarding claim 7-9: moving third and fourth gathering elements towards a center and towards one another (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17; via any other pairs of 24); first and second gathering elements are operative in a first direction and third and fourth gathering elements are operative in a second direction; wherein the first and second directions are substantially orthogonal with respect to one another (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17); adapting the third and fourth gathering element so as to limit a height of a third and fourth edge portions of the air bag proximate to the third and fourth gathering elements relative to the surface to less than a third and fourth threshold during at least a portion of the operation, see for example (Figs. 7, 10, 13, 15, and 17).

Regarding claim 10: stowing the air bag in an air bag module after the air bag is gathered responsive to the operation of moving the first and second gathering elements, see for example (Fig. 1B).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721